

# THE DIXMIER PROBLEM, LAMPLIGHTERS AND BURNSIDE GROUPS

NICOLAS MONOD<sup>‡</sup> AND NARUTAKA OZAWA<sup>\*</sup>

ABSTRACT. J. Dixmier asked in 1950 whether every non-amenable group admits uniformly bounded representations that cannot be unitarised. We provide such representations upon passing to extensions by abelian groups. This gives a new characterisation of amenability. Furthermore, we deduce that certain Burnside groups are non-unitarisable, answering a question raised by G. Pisier.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A group  $G$  is said to be *unitarisable* if every uniformly bounded representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is unitarisable, *i.e.* there is an invertible operator  $S$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $S\pi(\cdot)S^{-1}$  is a unitary representation. Dixmier [Dix50] proved that all amenable groups are unitarisable and asked whether unitarisability characterises amenability. Since unitarisability passes to subgroups and non-commutative free groups are not unitarisable, every group containing a non-commutative free group is non-unitarisable. For these facts and more background, we refer to Pisier [Pis01, Pis05].

Recently, a criterion was discovered [EMxx] that lead to examples without free subgroups (see [Osixx, EMxx]). We shall improve a strategy proposed in [Mon06] in order to apply ergodic methods to the problem.

*Now are our browes bound with Victorious Wreathes*<sup>1</sup>

Let  $G$  and  $A$  be groups. Recall that the associated (restricted) *wreath product*, or *lamplighter group*, is the group

$$A \wr G = \bigoplus_G A \rtimes G,$$

wherein  $\bigoplus_G A$  is the restricted product indexed by  $G$  upon which  $G$  acts by permutation. We shall be interested in the case where  $A$  and hence also  $\bigoplus_G A$  is abelian.

**Theorem 1.** *For any group  $G$ , the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The group  $G$  is amenable.*
- (ii) *The wreath product  $A \wr G$  is unitarisable for all abelian groups  $A$ .*
- (iii) *The wreath product  $A \wr G$  is unitarisable for some infinite abelian group  $A$ .*

The above theorem leads to a partial answer to a question of G. Pisier, namely whether free Burnside groups are unitarisable (see *e.g.* [Pis05]).

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A07; Secondary 37A20, 47D03.

<sup>‡</sup>Supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

<sup>\*</sup>Supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

<sup>1</sup>Shakespeare, *Richard III*, 1:1 (we quote from the 1623 *First Folio*).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $m, n, p$  be integers with  $m, n \geq 2$ ,  $p \geq 665$  and  $n, p$  odd. Then the free Burnside group  $B(m, np)$  of exponent  $np$  with  $m$  generators is non-unitarisable.*

**Acknowledgements.** The essential part of this work was done during the authors' stay at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences in Chennai. The authors would like to thank Professor V. S. Sunder and IMSc for their very kind hospitality.

## 2. PROOFS

Let  $G$  be a group and  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  be a unitary representation of  $G$ . We write  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  for the algebra of bounded operators of  $\mathcal{H}$ . A map  $D: G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called a *derivation* if it satisfies the Leibniz rule  $D(gh) = D(g)\pi(h) + \pi(g)D(h)$ , or equivalently if the map  $\pi_D$  defined by

$$\pi_D(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi(g) & D(g) \\ 0 & \pi(g) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

is a group homomorphism. In that case,  $\pi_D$  is a uniformly bounded representation if and only if  $D$  is a bounded derivation. Moreover,  $\pi_D$  is unitarisable if and only if  $D$  is inner, *i.e.* there is  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $D(g) = \pi(g)T - T\pi(g)$ . (See Lemma 4.5 in [Pis01] for a proof of this fact.) To set up a cohomological framework for studying this problem, we will view  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  as a coefficient  $G$ -module whose  $G$ -action is given by the conjugation  $g \cdot T = \pi(g)T\pi(g)^*$ . Then, the space of bounded derivations modulo inner derivations is canonically isomorphic to the first bounded cohomology group  $H_b^1(G, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$ . Hence, to prove non-unitarisability of  $G$ , it suffices to produce a unitary  $G$ -representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  for which  $H_b^1(G, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})) \neq 0$ .

We now undertake the proof of Theorem 1. It suffices to show that if  $A$  is infinite abelian and  $G$  is non-amenable, then the wreath product  $H = A \wr G$  is non-unitarisable.

We can and shall assume that  $A$  and  $G$  are countable. Indeed, since amenability is preserved under direct limits,  $G$  contains some countable non-amenable group  $G_0$ . Further, if  $A_0$  is a countable subgroup of  $A$ , then  $A_0 \wr G_0$  is a subgroup of  $A \wr G$ . Thus our claim follows since unitarisability passes to subgroups.

Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a countable non-commutative free group. The proof relies on the following two facts. (1)  $H_b^1(\mathbf{F}, \mathcal{L}(\ell_2\mathbf{F})) \neq 0$ , see the proof of Theorem 2.7\* in [Pis01]. (2) Every non-amenable countable group admits a free type  $\text{II}_1$  action whose orbits contain the orbits of a free  $\mathbf{F}$ -action ([GLxx]), as described below. The strategy of the proof is to induce  $H_b^1(\mathbf{F}, \mathcal{L}(\ell_2\mathbf{F}))$  through this ‘‘randembedding’’ in the sense of [Mon06].

We henceforth consider a non-amenable countable group  $G$  and the corresponding Bernoulli shift action on the compact metrisable product space  $X = [0, 1]^G$  endowed with the product of the Lebesgue measures. Gaboriau and Lyons prove in [GLxx] that the resulting equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times X$  contains the equivalence relation of some free measure-preserving  $\mathbf{F}$ -action upon  $X$ . In particular, we have commuting  $G$ - and  $\mathbf{F}$ -actions on  $\mathcal{R}$  given by the action on the first, respectively the second coordinate. These actions preserve the  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\mathcal{R}$  provided by integrating over  $X$  the counting measure on orbits. Each of these actions admits a fundamental domain; let  $Y \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  be a fundamental domain for  $\mathbf{F}$ . We may now forget the orbit

equivalence relation and view  $\mathcal{R}$  just as a standard measure space with a measure-preserving  $G \times \mathbf{F}$ -action such that  $G$  admits a fundamental domain  $X$  of finite measure and  $\mathbf{F}$  admits a fundamental domain  $Y$ . We identify  $\mathcal{R}$  with  $Y \times \mathbf{F}$  in such a way that  $t^{-1}y \in \mathcal{R}$  corresponds to  $(y, t) \in Y \times \mathbf{F}$ . Then,  $s \in \mathbf{F}$  acts on  $Y \times \mathbf{F}$  by  $s(y, t) = (y, ts^{-1})$  and  $g \in G$  acts by  $g(y, t) = (g \cdot y, \alpha(g, y)t)$ , where  $g \cdot y \in Y$  is the (essentially) unique element in  $\mathbf{F}gy \cap Y \subset \mathcal{R}$  and  $\alpha(g, y) \in \mathbf{F}$  is the (essentially) unique element such that  $\alpha(g, y)gy = g \cdot y$ . It follows that  $\alpha$  satisfies the cocycle relation  $\alpha(gh, y) = \alpha(g, h \cdot y)\alpha(h, y)$ .

We now consider any countable infinite abelian group  $A$ . We claim that  $A$  has a representation into the unitaries of the von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(Y)$  whose image generates  $L^\infty(Y)$  as a von Neumann algebra. By construction,  $Y$  is a standard Borel space with a  $\sigma$ -finite non-atomic measure. Furthermore, as far as the present claim is concerned, we may temporarily assume this measure finite since only its measure class is of relevance. Since  $A$  is countably infinite, its Pontryagin dual  $\hat{A}$  (for  $A$  endowed with the discrete topology) is a non-discrete compact metrisable group. In other words, we have reduced to the case where we may assume that  $Y$  is  $\hat{A}$  endowed with a Haar measure. Fourier transform establishes an isomorphism between  $L^\infty(\hat{A})$  and the group von Neumann algebra  $L(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell_2 A)$ , which is by definition generated by the unitary regular representation of  $A$ ; this proves the claim.

Returning to the main argument, we view  $A$  in the unitary group of  $L^\infty(Y) \cong L^\infty(Y) \otimes \mathbf{C}1_{\mathbf{F}} \subset L^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ . Since  $A$  and  $gAg^{-1} \subset L^\infty(Y)$  commute, this gives rise to a unitary representation of  $H = A \wr G$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{R})$ . We will prove that  $H_b^1(H, \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathcal{R}))) \neq 0$ .

We write  $N = \bigoplus_G A$ . Since  $N$  is amenable and  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathcal{R}))$  is a dual module, a weak- $*$  averaging argument shows that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H_b^*(H, \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathcal{R}))) \cong H_b^*(G, \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathcal{R}))^N)$$

(see Corollary 7.5.10 in [Mon01]). With the identification  $\mathcal{R} = Y \times \mathbf{F}$ , one has

$$\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathcal{R}))^N = N' \cap \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathcal{R})) = L^\infty(Y) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{L}(\ell_2 \mathbf{F}) \cong L^\infty(Y, \mathcal{L}(\ell_2 \mathbf{F}))$$

(see Theorem IV.5.9 in [Tak02]). Keeping track of the  $G$ -representation, one sees that  $g \in G$  acts on  $L^\infty(Y, \mathcal{L}(\ell_2 \mathbf{F}))$  by  $(g \cdot f)(y) = \tau_{\alpha(g, g^{-1} \cdot y)}(f(g^{-1} \cdot y))$ , where  $\tau$  denotes the  $\mathbf{F}$ -action on  $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2 \mathbf{F})$ . For ease of notation, we denote the coefficient  $\mathbf{F}$ -module  $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2 \mathbf{F})$  by  $V$ . Then, one further has a  $G$ -isomorphism

$$L^\infty(Y, V) \cong L^\infty(\mathcal{R}, V)^{\mathbf{F}},$$

where  $f \in L^\infty(Y, V)$  corresponds to  $\tilde{f} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{R}, V)^{\mathbf{F}}$  defined by  $\tilde{f}(y, t) = \tau_t^{-1}(f(y))$ . Now,  $\mathbf{F}$  acts on  $L^\infty(\mathcal{R}, V)$  by  $(s \cdot F)(z) = \tau_s(F(s^{-1}z))$  and  $G$  acts by  $(g \cdot F)(z) = F(g^{-1}z)$ . Since both the  $\mathbf{F}$ -action and the  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{R}$  admit a fundamental domain, Proposition 4.6 in [MS06] implies that

$$H_b^*(G, L^\infty(\mathcal{R}, V)^{\mathbf{F}}) \cong H_b^*(\mathbf{F}, L^\infty(\mathcal{R}, V)^G) \cong H_b^*(\mathbf{F}, L^\infty(X, V)).$$

(See also Proposition 5.8 in [Mon06].) Since  $X = \mathcal{R}/G$  has a finite  $\mathbf{F}$ -invariant measure, the inclusion  $V \hookrightarrow L^\infty(X, V)$  has a  $G$ -equivariant left inverse. It follows that the corresponding morphism

$$H_b^*(\mathbf{F}, V) \longrightarrow H_b^*(\mathbf{F}, L^\infty(X, V))$$

is an injection. Therefore, putting all identifications together, we conclude that there are injections

$$H_b^*(\mathbf{F}, \mathcal{L}(\ell_2\mathbf{F})) \longrightarrow H_b^*(H, \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathcal{R})))$$

in all degrees. Since  $H_b^1(\mathbf{F}, \mathcal{L}(\ell_2\mathbf{F})) \neq 0$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

Analysing the proof at the level of derivations, one observes that the above injection maps  $D: \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\ell_2\mathbf{F})$  to  $\tilde{D}: H \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(Y, \ell_2\mathbf{F}))$  defined by

$$(\tilde{D}(ag)\xi)(y) = a(y)D(\alpha(g, g^{-1} \cdot y))\xi(g^{-1} \cdot y),$$

where  $a \in N$  is viewed as an element of  $L^\infty(Y)$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $\xi \in L^2(Y, \ell_2\mathbf{F})$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By a theorem of Adyan [Ady82], the free Burnside group  $G = B(2, p)$  is non-amenable. Therefore, Theorem 1 implies that  $(\bigoplus_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) \wr G$  is non-unitarisable. Notice that this wreath product is a countably generated group of exponent  $np$ . Therefore, by the universal property of free Burnside groups, it is a quotient of  $B(\aleph_0, np)$ . In particular, the latter is non-unitarisable. It was shown by Širvanjan [Šir76] that  $B(\aleph_0, np)$  embeds into  $B(2, np)$  which is therefore also non-unitarisable. Finally, each  $B(m, np)$  surjects onto  $B(2, np)$  as long as  $m \geq 2$ , concluding the proof.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Ady82] S. I. Adyan, Random walks on free periodic groups. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **46** (1982), 1139–1149, 1343.
- [Dix50] J. Dixmier, Les moyennes invariantes dans les semi-groupes et leurs applications. *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged* **12** (1950), 213–227.
- [EMxx] I. Epstein and N. Monod, Non-unitarisable representations and random forests. *Preprint*. arXiv:0811.3422v1
- [GLxx] D. Gaboriau and R. Lyons, A measurable-group-theoretic solution to von Neumann’s problem. *Preprint*. arXiv:0711.1643v1
- [Mon01] N. Monod, *Continuous bounded cohomology of locally compact groups*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1758. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [Mon06] N. Monod, An invitation to bounded cohomology. *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, 1183–1211, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
- [MS06] N. Monod and Y. Shalom, Orbit equivalence rigidity and bounded cohomology. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **164** (2006), 825–878.
- [Osixx] D. Osin,  $L^2$ -Betti numbers and non-unitarizable groups without free subgroups. *Preprint*. arXiv:0812.2093
- [Pis01] G. Pisier, *Similarity problems and completely bounded maps*. Second, expanded edition. Includes the solution to “The Halmos problem”. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1618. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [Pis05] G. Pisier, Are unitarizable groups amenable? *Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects*, 323–362, Progr. Math., 248, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.
- [Šir76] V. L. Širvanjan, Imbedding of the group  $B(\infty, n)$  in the group  $B(2, n)$ . *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **40** (1976), 190–208, 223.
- [Tak02] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I*. Reprint of the first (1979) edition. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 124. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

N.M. — EPFL, SWITZERLAND

N.O. — THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, JAPAN